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This is version 7.1 of the National Police Promotion Framework guidance document. It replaces version 6 of the NPPF Operating Manual dated July 2016.

### NPPF guidance – areas of change

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter 2 and throughout</th>
<th>Requirement for forces to submit to College of Policing relevant personal data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 2</td>
<td>Forces must capture and retain personal data for own analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 3.5</td>
<td>Candidate registration – change to title of college unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 3.9</td>
<td>Clarification of use of PDR and Professional Profile to assess at Step 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 3.12, 18,19</td>
<td>Clarification on officers applying for promotion whilst in a probationary period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 4.5</td>
<td>Clarification on 5 year validity period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 5.4-5.6</td>
<td>Clarification on Step 3 planning and short notice of an amendment to candidates on the published step 3 selection criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 6.10</td>
<td>Temporary Promotion and Temporary Salary provisions to backfill short term vacancies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 9</td>
<td>Use of appeals panel and clarification on validity period following an appeal at NPPF Step Three</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 12</td>
<td>Direct Entry Inspector programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix 1</td>
<td>College of Policing guidance for development of an appeals process</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. Introduction

1.1 This operating guidance outlines the procedures for forces implementing the National Police Promotion Framework (NPPF) for promotion of officers to the rank of sergeant and inspector. This version supersedes the operating manual dated July 2016, and other earlier operating manuals.

1.2 Forces are mandated under Police (Promotion) Regulations 1996 (as amended) and NPPF Governance Board to comply with the requirements of the NPPF. In addition, forces implementing the framework must meet the requirements of the NPPF quality assurance system.

1.3 This operating manual details the mandatory steps of the NPPF. In particular, the operating manual:

- describes the operating procedures for the four steps of the promotion process
- describes the process of applying the four steps to all candidates for sergeant and inspector promotions
- outlines arrangements for further monitoring of the framework

1.4 Compliance with the requirements outlined in this manual, and those outlined above, will satisfy the College of Policing that the NPPF is being applied rigorously, fairly and consistently by all participating forces.

1.5 The NPPF quality assurance process supports consistent implementation of the promotion system for sergeants and inspectors. It seeks to do this by striking an appropriate balance between a force's local accountability for a fair process of promotion to the ranks of sergeant and inspector and the need to ensure standardization at the local and national level, and fairness and equality across the service.

1.6 Forces operating the NPPF must know and understand their statutory duties in respect of the Equality Act 2010, and in particular the general duty on public authorities to have due regard to:

- eliminating discrimination, harassment and victimisation
- advancing equality of opportunity between people from different groups
- fostering good relations between people from different groups
- being able to demonstrate how they have shown due regard to the public sector equality duty
While the College of Policing has published its own equality impact assessment, forces must also give due regard to the equality impact when introducing NPPF in their own force area.

1.7 Women officers and officers from minority ethnic groups are under-represented in supervisory ranks. These officers should be specifically encouraged to apply through positive action initiatives. However, promotion is a competitive process and final selection and promotion will be based on merit, with the best officers promoted to the rank of sergeant or inspector in each force. Forces may wish to use further positive action provisions, however, made under the Equality Act 2010.

1.8 In order to adopt the NPPF, forces must complete the registration documentation and engage in the quality assurance system. The quality assurance system is based on the software application – Police Service Quality Management System. Access can be obtained via PSQMS.enquiries@college.pnn.police.uk

1.9 This document also provides guidance for delivering leadership learning and development alongside the NPPF to support candidates in evidencing competency. See ch.8 for further explanation.

1.10 Contact details for the College of Policing Project Team are provided in ch.11.

2. National Police Promotion Framework

Data capture process

2.1 The national requirement for forces to periodically submit equality based data on officers progressing through the various steps of the NPPF was initially removed by the NPPF Governance Board in December 2016. However, this decision has since been reversed and forces are required to collect and submit such data. The Quality Assurance Framework has been amended accordingly.

2.1.1 The College will be working with forces to develop a revised data management process. Forces will however still be expected to collate and forward to the College on an annual basis, biographical data of candidates as they progress through the NPPF. In addition a new data set of candidates who have completed NPPF Step Four will be required. This data set will include candidates who have been successful and made substantive in the rank and those who have been assessed as not meeting the required standard. It will not include those who voluntarily leave the NPPF.
2.2 NPPF Quality Assurance System
An overview of the quality assurance system is set out below. Full details can be found in the National Police Promotion Framework Quality Assurance System Version 2.0 April 2017. A copy can be obtained on the College of Policing Website.

2.3. The NPPF Quality Assurance System applies to both the promotion of sergeants and inspectors. However it is acknowledged that forces may not run promotion activity for both ranks at the same time. The validation process will therefore take this into account by focussing on a force’s first promotion activity, whether it is sergeants or inspectors. Forces will also be expected to explain how they will apply the NPPF to the other rank. For example they may indicate that exactly the same approach will apply or they may describe any differences. The following is a high level overview of the NPPF Quality Assurance System; with the following sections explaining each stage in more detail.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Registration</th>
<th>Force registers on the Police Service Quality Management System that it is now promoting officers under the National Police Promotion Framework.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Validation of implementation</td>
<td>At the same time as a force promotes officers via the NPPF it addresses the requirements of the NPPF Quality Assurance System. Approval by the College of Policing must be achieved within the first 12 months of an individual force operating the NPPF. Validation in most cases will be by remote desk-top analysis.* 12 months is indicative – actual timing will be dependent on when a force plans to implement Step 3 activity for both sergeants and inspectors. *Validation visits will only be undertaken in exceptional circumstances, e.g. if one or more major issues have been identified during the desk-top analysis. Quality Assurance will cover all four steps up to the point when forces register candidates with an awarding body.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interim reporting</td>
<td>After 2 years forces will be sent a request to review and where appropriate update their self-assessments. Updated self-assessments will be reviewed remotely.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Renewal

After 4 years the force will be subject to renewal under the NPPF Quality Assurance System. This will require the submission of a fully revised self-assessment.

All forces are required to use the NPPF to promote candidates to the ranks of sergeant and inspector. The NPPF quality assurance system will only become relevant, however, when a force actively promotes using the NPPF.

2.4 Structure of the National Police Promotion Framework

The NPPF consists of four steps, ending in an assessed temporary period of promotion in the rank to which the candidate aspires. At each step it is possible for a candidate to attain, exceed or fall short of the standards required. The four steps of the process are:

- Step One: Competence in current rank
- Step Two: Legal knowledge examination
- Step Three: Assessment against rank-specific competencies and matching to vacancies
- Step Four: Temporary promotion and work-based assessment.

2.5 It is important that forces present the NPPF as a national continuous process and that officers are expected to progress within the defined timescales. The national aspects of the framework allow for greater interoperability between forces.

2.6 Having secured a pass at NPPF Step Two, candidates remain eligible for promotion for as long as they have time left in their NPPF Step Two validity period. The validity period is five years (less 1 day) commencing the date they took the legal knowledge exam at NPPF Step Two. During this period, candidates must be selected at Step Three as being suitable for temporary promotion within the next 12 months. If the candidate has not been successfully selected at Step Three before the end of the validity period, they must retake the legal examination at Step Two or reapply at NNPF Step One.

2.7 The four steps are continuous within the promotion process and candidates must undertake each step with the intention of completing the whole process as soon as possible. This is to allow candidates to make best use of the skills they develop as they progress through the four steps, allow forces to control the promotion process and to promote the right number of officers to meet the needs of the force. Provision will be made, however, for circumstances such as where an officer takes maternity or paternity support leave, adoption or adoption support leave, disability related leave, a career break for the purposes of care, workforce planning requirements, availability of vacancies and reasonable adjustments for disabled officers. This list is not exhaustive and each case must be considered by the forces on its merits.
2.8 Where an officer takes maternity leave, paternity leave, maternity related sickness leave, adoption leave, or a career break for the purposes of care, the time taken shall not count towards a reduction of the relevant NPPF Step Two (legal knowledge examination) validity period. For example, where an officer has three years remaining in the NPPF Step Two validity period and takes maternity leave for one year, the remaining validity period upon return to work will be three years. Further information on the NPPF Step Two validity period can be found on the College of Policing website Rules and Syllabus page.

3. Step One: Competence in current rank

Purpose
3.1 The purpose of this step is to ensure that candidates are suitable to enter the promotion process. This means establishing that the candidate is competent in their current rank, rather than assessing potential for temporary promotion to another rank.

Procedure
3.2 It should be made clear to all prospective candidates at the very start of the promotion process that promotion opportunities are very likely to be limited and that promotion can be a highly competitive process. Not all candidates will ultimately succeed in gaining a promotion to the rank to which they aspire. Forces must ensure that all candidates have a realistic understanding of what is required of them, and of the opportunity for promotion, throughout the four steps of the process.

3.2.1 While not part of the eligibility criteria or assessment of competence in the current rank (NPPF Step One), there is evidence that force-level briefings to potential candidates are of value in allowing officers to properly reflect on the timing of their application and likely prospects of success. Briefings aimed at officers who have made repeated unsuccessful attempts for promotion are of equal value. Such briefings have been shown to manage expectations of officers, reduce the number of applicants, which then minimise abstraction and force costs. The primary aim of such briefings are to provide officers with information. They must not be used to dissuade officers from applying.

3.3 Candidates must first complete the NPPF Candidate Registration Form, obtainable via the Force examinations officer (FEO). The FEO will also send to the relevant line manager a locally designed Line Manager Endorsement Form. The national Candidate Registration Form must be completed by the officer and returned to the appropriate person in the force before the officer discusses with their line manager their request to commence the promotion process. This is to ensure that all candidates who express an interest in promotion are captured. A candidate’s biographical data must be treated confidentially between the candidate and the force. It must not be shared with the line manager or other persons.
3.4 The line manager and basic command unit/operational command unit (BCU/OCU) commander/head of department (or nominee) will complete the separate Line Manager Endorsement Form to state whether or not the candidate is endorsed to progress to NPPF Step Two (see 3.7 below). The line manager must then meet with the candidate to explain whether they have been endorsed or not and discuss the candidate’s promotion aspirations with them.

3.5 All Candidate Registration Forms, whether they have been endorsed or not, must be sent to the College of Policing Selection and Assessment department. Forms are then entered into the system and all new candidates (endorsed or not) are allocated a unique identification number. The unique identification number is used by the College of Policing in relation to Step 2, the Legal Knowledge Examination and could be used by forces for their local monitoring activity. The completed line manager endorsement form is retained in-force.

3.5.1 Candidates on the Fast Track constable to inspector process are, by virtue of being accepted onto that programme, deemed to meet the eligibility criteria. They must, however, still register with the College of Policing Selection and Assessment department using the same form as officers applying at Step One. See Ch. 11

3.6 Candidates who are successful at Step One will automatically be entered for Step Two (NPPF Step Two legal knowledge examination).

3.7 Eligibility criteria
NPPF Step One confirms that candidates have:

- completed the probation period in their current rank
- demonstrated competence in that rank through their performance and development review (PDR), or Student Officer Learning and Assessment Portfolio (SOLAP)/acceptable equivalent
- no live written improvement notices issued under the Police (Performance) Regulations 2012 or reduction in rank under those procedures in the previous 18 months
- no live written warning, final written warning or extended final written warning issued under the Police (Conduct) Regulations 2012 (a finding of misconduct but where no separate penalty is applied – regulation 35(1)(b) – does not debar an officer from proceeding to Step Two)
- adhered to the attendance management policy, taking full account of the Police Advisory Board guidance on attendance management

3.8 Officers who have previously been reduced in rank
Where under current or former regulations a substantive sergeant or inspector was reduced in rank, they may, after any period of disqualification, reapply for promotion. In such cases, officers will commence the process at NPPF Step One but will not be required to undertake Step Two. If selected at...
Step Three, they will follow the promotion process under which they were originally successful.

- Officers who were originally substantively promoted under the OSPRE process will again be substantively promoted and will again be subject to a 12-month probationary period (sergeants only). A chief officer may determine that, in order to refresh their knowledge and skills, officers should undertake the work-based assessment as part of a development programme.

- As the Regulated Qualification Framework (RQF) units are constantly reviewed, it is strongly advised that officers engage with the process to ensure their skills and knowledge equip them for the role.

- Officers who were originally promoted through NPPF will again be temporarily promoted and retake any new or revised units within the work-based assessment. This is to allow for knowledge and skills to be assessed against any changes in the underpinning National Occupational Standards. Candidates will also be assessed on performance over the mandatory 12 months period.

- Where no significant changes to the RQF units have been recorded, the chief officer may make a determination to substantively promote an officer based only on performance evidence over the mandatory 12-month period.

3.8.1 Officers subject to criminal or misconduct investigations

Officers may become the subject of criminal or misconduct investigations as they progress through the promotion process. In such cases, forces must examine the particular circumstances of the investigation and decide if the officer should be removed from the promotion process or if it is possible for them to proceed.

3.8.2 Where an officer is removed from the promotion process, the officer may appeal this decision (but not the issues relevant to the investigation). The appeal must be heard by an independent party to the investigation and be limited to the issues relevant to continuance in the promotion process. Where the decision is not appealed or the appeal is refused, the officer will be withdrawn from the NPPF. The FEO must inform the College of Policing Selection and Assessment department that the officer has been removed from the promotion process, but the surrounding circumstances are confidential and must not be disclosed.

3.8.3 Where an officer remains in the promotion process, they must also be informed whether or not they will be allowed to proceed to the next step while the investigation is live. Such decisions are also subject to an appeal. The various validity periods or time limits built into the NPPF process will continue to run down, irrespective of whether an officer is permitted to proceed to the next step or not.
3.8.4 Forces and professional standards units must consider the impact on an officer’s promotion status before an officer is moved to a non-public facing or non-operational role. Allowing an officer to remain in the promotion process but in a role where they are unable to gain the necessary skills, knowledge and experience relevant to the current or next step of the promotion process would be unfair and should be avoided.

3.8.5 Where an officer is allowed to proceed to or remain in Step Four, a decision to substantively promote an officer at the end of the 12 months may be deferred if, at that time, the misconduct or investigation is not yet complete. In some cases, officers may be proven to be in misconduct or found guilty of an offence but will have successfully completed the work-based assessment. Such officers would not be substantively promoted as they are unlikely to meet the satisfactory performance criteria as discussed in ch.6.8 below. Where the officer has successfully attained the assessment units, however, the external qualification may still be awarded.

3.8.6 Where, following an investigation or misconduct hearing, an officer is completely exonerated, an application can be made to the College of Policing to extend the validity period at Step Two so that the officer is not disadvantaged. In general terms, where the candidate is supported by their force, the College will look to add to the validity period any time (in calendar days) where the officer was suspended from duty or placed in a role where they were unable to access the next part of the promotion process.

3.8.7 Where a finding is imposed such as a written warning (which carries a 12-month prohibition at Step One), the officer may, subject to the agreement of the chief officer, be retained in the promotion process, providing they also have sufficient validity period remaining to allow them to undertake the next step. The validity period would not generally be extended in such cases unless there were additional factors, such as serious illness, to be considered. In such cases, application to extend the relevant time period may, subject to the support of the chief officer, be made to the College of Policing.

3.9 Assessment in the PDR
Competence in the current rank should be demonstrated through a satisfactory rating (or equivalent grade) in the applicant’s current PDR or force appraisal process. The PDR process in each force must accord with the standards in the College of Policing PDR guidance. Competence in the current rank must be assessed using the national standards for assessment in policing against the relevant professional profile for constables or sergeants. [https://profdev.college.police.uk/](https://profdev.college.police.uk/)

3.9.1 If a candidate does not have a current PDR, the line manager may refer back to the last PDR. Where evidence is dated, the line manager should conduct an interim assessment and record this in the candidate’s PDR. The onus is on the force to ensure that PDRs or their equivalent, are completed.
3.10 The professional profiles provide minimum national criteria to assess competence, but chief officers have the discretion to set additional local criteria which they consider relevant to local policing needs. The professional profiles are not mandatory, but where a force uses an alternative framework, that model must be mapped across to the professional profile.

3.11 Candidates deemed to lack competence against the criteria at 3.1 above may make a fresh application if they are able to provide further evidence of competence. If there is no immediate new evidence, the candidate must be given a development plan agreed with their manager and then reapply in line with that plan. This development plan must be a part of the candidate’s PDR/SOLAP or equivalent. If an applicant is unsuccessful at Step One, the reasons should be recorded and detailed in the candidate’s PDR.

3.12 Admission to Step Two (legal knowledge examination) for promotion to the rank of sergeant is restricted to constables who, by the date of the legal examination, have:

- completed two years’ service
- been confirmed in their appointment
- not previously obtained a pass to the rank of sergeant in a recognised police promotion examination

3.13 A pass mark refers to completing the full promotion process, i.e., OSPRE I and II or Steps One to Four of NPPF. It does not refer to candidates who have previously taken the legal knowledge exam but whose validity period has expired.

3.14 Candidates nearing completion of their probation may apply for promotion at Step One if they are supported by their force and expect to achieve the substantive rank by the date of Step Two (legal knowledge examination).

3.15 The exception is constables participating in the High Potential Development scheme (HPDS), who may take Step Two (legal knowledge examination) the next time it is delivered but must still register at Step One within the specified time frames. HPDS officers are subject to specific provisions made by the Police (Promotion) Regulations 1996. Officers and forces should refer to the regulations and HPDS policy for further information.

3.16 The same provisions apply to officers who are accepted onto the constable to inspector Fast Track process. The only variation is that constables will apply for the next Step Two (legal knowledge exam) process for inspector, not the sergeant’s exam. Fast Track officers are subject to the provisions made by the Police (Promotion) Regulations 1996. Officers should refer to the regulations and Fast Track policy for further information. See ch.11.
3.17 Admission to Step Two (legal knowledge examination) for promotion to the rank of inspector is currently restricted to sergeants who by the date of the Step Two legal knowledge examination have:

- attained the rank of sergeant, otherwise than on temporary promotion
- not previously obtained a pass to the rank of inspector in a recognised police promotion examination.

3.18 Sergeants must be of substantive rank before undertaking Step Two (legal knowledge examination) for the rank of inspector. In this context substantive refers to:

- OSPRE Parts I and II qualified sergeant – Has completed the 12 month probation period and been confirmed in the rank
- NPPF Steps 1 - 4 qualified sergeant – Has successfully completed the work based assessment and been assessed as satisfactory (or equivalent) in their (Temporary Sergeant) PDR and been substantively promoted

3.18.1 Providing officers are supported by their force, applications to take the Inspectors promotion process (Step One of the NPPF) may be submitted towards the end a sergeant’s probationary period or period of temporary promotion to sergeant at NPPF Step Four. Forces and candidates must have a reasonable expectation that the officer will be confirmed in the rank of sergeant (OSPRE candidates) or will be made substantive in the rank of sergeant (NPPF candidates), by the date of the inspectors’ Step Two legal knowledge examination. Officers who have applied early but who have not been confirmed or made substantive will not, even if initially supported at Step One, be permitted to take the legal knowledge exam.

3.18.2 In a minority of cases some sergeants could complete the inspector promotion process but not yet have attained the two years’ service in the substantive rank of sergeant as required under regulation 3, Police (Promotion) Regulations 1996. In such cases, officers cannot be promoted until such time as they do meet service length criteria.

3.19 There is no exception for sergeants participating in HPDS or Fast track process. Whilst HPDS and Fast Track officers are subject to specific provisions made by the Police (Promotion) Regulations 1996 as amended, they must be confirmed (OSPRE candidates) or have been made substantive (NPPF) in the rank of sergeant before they can be made temporary Inspector. Officers and forces should refer to the regulations and HPDS/Fast Track policy for further information.

3.20 Monitoring and reporting

The quality assurance system requires forces to provide the College of Policing with the completed Candidate Registration Forms of both supported and unsupported candidates at Step One.
4. **Step Two: Legal knowledge examination**

**Purpose**

4.1 The purpose of this step is to ensure candidates have the appropriate legal knowledge relevant to the rank of sergeant or inspector.

**Procedure**

4.2 Step Two is the legal knowledge examination. It is a national paper-based multiple-choice question examination. The syllabus is the same for all candidates.

4.3 The NPPF Step Two (legal knowledge examination) pass is valid for five years commencing the date of taking the examination. A candidate must be within this validity period to be selected for promotion at the NPPF Step Three (local selection process).

4.4 Candidates entering the final year of their NPPF Step Two (legal knowledge exam) validity period may choose to retake the NPPF Step Two examination which falls on the final anniversary of their previous attempt. This provision comes at the expense of a candidate losing any remaining validity period of the previous pass between the closing date for entries to NPPF Step Two (legal knowledge examination) and the examination date.

4.5 Candidates may also choose to retain the remainder of their NPPF Step Two (legal knowledge examination) pass in anticipation of a NPPF Step Three process being announced and held between the closing date for entries to NPPF Step Two (legal knowledge examination) and the next examination date. These candidates, if not successful at Step Three or a step three process is not held, may not re-enter the NPPF Step Two until the following year.

**Criteria**

4.6 The pass requirement in the sergeants’ and inspectors’ NPPF Step Two (legal knowledge examination) is an absolute standard (set pass mark). Those candidates who achieve a score equal to or above the set pass mark will be awarded a pass. Further details in relation to the pass mark will be communicated in the Instructions to Candidates document, which all candidates receive prior to the examination.

4.7 Candidates who are unsuccessful in the NPPF Step Two (legal knowledge examination) will return to NPPF Step One. Unsuccessful candidates must be supported with a development plan after discussion with their line manager.

4.8 Chief Officers of candidates attaining the exceptional scores will be notified via the FEO.
4.9 In exceptional circumstances where a significant and unscheduled event takes place at a venue where a Step Two exam is being held, the NPPF governance board may vary the cut score by 1%. This will only be awarded following a formal appeal by a force, not individual candidates.

4.10 Monitoring and reporting
The examination process at NPPF Step Two is designed, delivered, administered and quality assured by College of Policing. The College Selection and Assessment department monitors Step Two and provides forces with the results. This includes performance analysis of successful and unsuccessful candidates.

4.11 The Candidate Registration Form required at Step One must be received by the College of Policing by the Step Two closing dates published in the annual Rules and Syllabus document.

5. Step Three: Assessment against rank-specific competencies and matching to force vacancies

Purpose
5.1 The purpose of this step is to:

- assess candidates’ potential to perform at the next rank against the relevant behavioural competencies
- provide a robust and fair mechanism to broadly match the number of successful candidates to the number of expected vacancies – forces must take account of the NPPF Step Two (legal knowledge examination) five-year expiry period when considering their succession planning needs

5.2 Procedure
It is the responsibility of the force’s senior management, in conjunction with human resources specialists, to decide on the structure and techniques used in Step Three in order to select the appropriate candidates to the rank aspired to. It is also the responsibility of the force to ensure they are able to demonstrate how they have shown due regard to the public sector equality duty in deciding which procedures to use. Forces are encouraged to use positive action to encourage applications from officers with protected characteristics that are under-represented in supervisory ranks.

5.3 The NPPF-Equality-Impact-Assessment is published on the College of Policing website and will assist forces in identifying the most relevant issues.

5.4 It is important to note that the date of the candidate’s NPPF
Step Three assessment (for example, the date of their Step Three interview) must fall within the five-year validity period. If a candidate has not been selected at NPPF Step Three within five years from the date of taking the NPPF Step Two (legal knowledge examination), they must return to NPPF Step One.

5.5 In planning the date of a NPPF Step Three local selection process, forces MUST take into consideration the five-year validity anniversary date. A Step Three process held just after this anniversary date may unnecessarily exclude candidates in the final year of their validity period. To remain eligible an officer MUST be within the Step Two validity period on the date they physically participate in the final part of the Step Three process. The result may be known or published at a later date but this will no longer impact on a candidate’s progression to Step Three and Four. However, where a candidate is selected at Step Three but actual participation date falls outside of the validity period, the officer will not be allowed to proceed to NPPF Step 4 (work-based assessment). See Ch. 9 re appeals.

5.6 Forces must advertise at an early stage the method chosen to test candidates at Step Three and the RQF units used at Step Four. This is to give candidates the opportunity to prepare for the process. Forces must set out the competencies they are testing and any other requirements expected of candidates. Candidates MUST be told about any changes to the advertised process as soon as possible.

5.6.1 Where a Step Three date is brought forward, delayed or the content of the process is altered in a material way from that previously published, care must be taken to ensure that all candidates have an equal opportunity to prepare. This does not mean an equal number of days but rather that officers who will participate first, have a reasonable time to adjust to the new requirements expected of them. It will be for forces to determine what is reasonable adjustment time.

5.6.2 Advising candidates of the projected number of vacancies at a rank, and the potential numbers of candidates seeking promotion should be seen as good practice prior to the start of a Step Three process.

5.7 Unsuitable for promotion at this stage
A candidate who is unsuccessful at Step Three can remain within the NPPF and participate in the next Step Three as long as their Step Two pass remains valid.

5.7.1 In all cases, candidates must be given the opportunity to develop through an action plan agreed with their line manager and then to retake Step Three, as long as their Step Two pass remains valid. This development plan forms part of the candidate’s PDR and must set out milestones so that the candidate knows when they have reached the appropriate point to retake Step Three.
5.8 **Suitable for promotion**
As vacancies occur, candidates selected for promotion at Step Three will be posted on a temporary basis for a minimum of 12 months as part of an organisational succession plan. This posting will take into account factors such as specialist skills, need for further skills-based training, recent completion of probation and geographic location. If there are no immediate vacancies, individuals will be placed in a pool of candidates to await placement when a suitable vacancy does arise. Forces should aim not to retain any candidate in such a pool for longer than 12 months.

5.8.1 In exceptional cases it may not be possible to place a pooled candidate within the initial 12 months. Forces should make every effort to place the individual within an additional maximum of 24 month period. If no suitable vacancy is found within this extended period, (36 months in total), the candidate must re-apply at Step Three. Not many candidates will need to remain in the pool for the maximum period allowed. The onus is on force managers to ensure that measures are built into their posting policy to ensure that individuals are not indirectly discriminated against due to lack of opportunity.

5.9 In considering whether or not to extend a candidate’s 12-month pool period, forces must distinguish between candidates who have not previously been offered temporary promotion at Step Four and those who have, but declined the posting due to personal preference. Where relevant, forces must consider the reason for that personal choice, taking account of all the circumstances, including any impact or potential impact on equality. In some cases it may be more appropriate for the candidate to return to Step Three than to extend their time in the pool. Forces must treat each case on its individual merits.

5.10 Forces must ensure that the management of candidates in the promotion pool is consistent and fair. The additional 24 months is not a default position, but is available for partial or full use in exceptional circumstances. It is for forces to decide what constitutes an exceptional circumstance. It is not to be used as an alternative to effective workforce planning, which should include matching numbers selected to projected vacancies.

5.11 **Criteria**
Candidates will be assessed against criteria relevant to the rank aspired to and any standards set by the force. The promotion process selected by the force and any local standards must be monitored by the force to ensure fairness and equality of opportunity, and be of a type and standard consistent with the quality assurance process. The criteria chosen must be:

- applied fairly and consistently across all candidates
- related to the rank aspired to for selection
5.12 Monitoring and reporting
Forces must monitor the outcomes of the process at step three in compliance with the requirements of the Quality Assurance System.

6. Step Four: Temporary Promotion and Work-based assessment

6.1 The NPPF includes a period of workplace assessment of candidates temporarily promoted to and undertaking the duties of sergeant or inspector.

6.2 Purpose
The purpose of this step is to:

- provide candidates with an opportunity to demonstrate competence in the rank to which they aspire
- assess candidates against the Regulated Qualifications Framework (RQF) assessment units with a view to their achieving substantive promotion at the end of the 12 month period of temporary promotion

6.3 Candidates who are selected at NPPF Step Three must be registered with an external awarding body for the accreditation and certification of the relevant RQF units, before or immediately on being temporarily promoted at NPPF Step Four. The expectation is that candidates will achieve certification by the end of the 12-month period. The standard of assessment for those candidates must comply with that specified in the assessment units. The qualifications will be managed in line with the governance processes for all national policing qualifications set out in The Strategic Governance of National Policing Qualifications in England and Wales 2015.

6.3.1 Most roles undertaken by a sergeant or inspector are suitable for assessment against the RQF assessment units. There are some limited exceptions, such as the role of staff officer or undercover officer, which would make attaining the learning units difficult as such officers do not generally manage a team. Additionally, officers in defined specialist roles such as custody officer or intelligence may require additional support by way of short-term placements in other work areas to gain the required skills and experience.

6.3.2 The required occupational knowledge of competence of assessors is defined in the programme specification and is aligned to the College of Policing Standards for Police Training Roles. Assessors must be trained to assess against national standards or be working towards completing such training. There is however, no requirement for them to be formally qualified.
6.4 Procedure
Step Four is a mandatory 12-month period of temporary promotion, during which candidates are assessed in the workplace against selected RQF units for the new rank and the professional profile or equivalent. Candidates need to pass both the academic assessment and evidence satisfactory performance in the rank before they can be substantively promoted. While the assessment units may be undertaken and passed in a shorter period, performance (including attendance) in the temporary rank will be assessed over the full 12-month period. In extenuating circumstances, temporary promotion can be extended for up to a maximum of a further 12 months (see ch.9).

6.5 A candidate who fails to achieve a satisfactory assessment in all the assessment standards and/or satisfactory performance / attendance criteria at the temporary rank must return to NPPF Step Three. The candidate must wait for 12 months from the date they revert to their substantive rank before they can make a further attempt at Step Three. They must be supported with a development plan during this period. Any units that have been completed while a candidate is active at Step Four should be awarded to the candidate.

6.6 The exception to this rule is if a candidate leaves Step Four on a temporary basis due to ill health or voluntarily for other welfare reasons (see Ch.9.12) which would result in the 12-month period being suspended. Candidates who voluntarily withdraw from Step Four without such reasons, and as a result fail to achieve the required standards, must wait for 12 months from the date they revert to their substantive rank before they can make a further attempt at Step Three.

6.7 Criteria
Candidates will be assessed against the relevant RQF units. Candidates will be assessed in line with the governance processes for all national policing qualifications set out in The Strategic Governance of National Policing Qualifications in England and Wales 2015. Assessors are responsible for ensuring that candidates understand the methods by which they are being assessed.

6.7.1 Candidates will also be assessed within their current PDR on their performance in the temporary rank over the mandated 12-month period. The assessment must be completed within the structure of national assessment protocol for PDR. The PDR assessment should be conducted by the candidate’s line manager or other trained individual appointed by the force.

6.8 Substantive promotion
When a candidate has successfully completed the 12-month period of temporary promotion and work-based assessment, they must be considered for promotion to the substantive rank. At this point, forces must also take into consideration the candidate’s:
length of service in the previous rank that is required by Police (Promotion) Regulations 1996 – usually two years’ service

overall performance (as recorded in the candidate’s PDR)

attendance management record

conduct record (as described at 3.1)

recommendation from the candidate’s BCU/OCU commander/head of department (or nominated delegate)

The result of the assessment should be noted on the candidate’s PDR.

6.9 If a candidate who has successfully completed the period of temporary promotion and the work-based assessment is not given substantive promotion, the reasons for this decision must be evidence-based, justifiable and documented. The process must be fair, consistent and capable of withstanding third-party scrutiny.

6.10 Temporary promotion outside of NPPF

The NPPF does not prevent a chief officer from temporarily promoting any officer to fill a vacancy within the force. However, with the introduction of the NPPF the following considerations also apply:

- Constables and sergeants who are NPPF Step Two /OSPRE Part I qualified may be given opportunities to act up in the next rank. They cannot, however, be temporarily promoted to that higher rank. The Police (Promotion) Regulations 1996 (as amended) limit the temporary promotion of officers to the rank of sergeant or inspector to those officers who are qualified. To be qualified an officer must either
  - have successfully completed OSPRE I and II
  - have been selected at NPPF Step Four and who have commenced the Workbased assessment

- Where a temporary vacancy will last for 12 months or more and the role is suitable for assessment against the RQF units, an officer selected at Step Three (OSPRE I and II or NPPF Step Two-qualified) should be considered for the role.

- Officers who have previously passed OSPRE I and II and then been selected for promotion at NPPF Step Three should be substantively promoted at that time. It is a decision for chief officers as to whether these officers undertake the Step Four work-based assessment as part of the probationary period (sergeants) or development period (inspectors).

- Where a temporary vacancy is likely to last for less than 12 months or the role is not suitable for assessment against the RQF units, an officer who is OSPRE I and II qualified should be placed within the role.

- Evidence of performance or skills gained while temporarily promoted can only be transferred to a Step Four process if the evidence is relevant, current and can be verified by the awarding
body. It is a decision for the awarding body as to whether such evidence will be accepted.

6.10.1 Temporary Salary Provisions
Rather than use temporary promotion, forces may wish to consider using the Temporary Salary provisions to retain constables and sergeants in a higher rank. Subject to meeting the initial access period (unpaid), officers who have been ACTING in a higher rank receive the appropriate salary for each tour of duty they undertake in the higher rank. Officers will normally return to their substantive rank after 56 days (inclusive of the initial access period). However, officers retained in a higher rank beyond the 56 days, will automatically be entitled to receive the higher salary and pension entitlements for each day they are retained in the higher rank. Although the officers will receive the temporary salary, they are not temporarily promoted and are classed as ACTING. It is recognised that many officers will erroneously regard themselves as temporary sergeant or inspector but forces must ensure that the officer fully understands the difference between temporary promotion and temporary salary.

6.11 Use of body-worn video
The use of technology to capture evidence relevant to the assessment units is strongly encouraged. This also includes the use of body-worn video (BWV), providing its capture, handling and disposal complies with national policy on BWV and the legislative requirements of the General Data Protection Regulations 2018.

6.11.1 Data captured by use of BWV is personal data and its processing must be compliant with the principles of the GDPR and be monitored by the data controller. If challenged, forces must be in a position to show that this is being done. Forces must ensure that safeguards are in place to ensure that a recording was in response to a pressing need (e.g., obtaining evidence) rather than personal data being processed just to support the promotion process. Where the recording can be used for both, then, provided that it does not breach other data principles (e.g., excessive retention, fair processing/transparency), the evidence can be used.

6.11.2 Policies or force guidance must be in place to ensure that, where footage is used as evidence of performance, only relevant extracts are used. They must also take into account whether audio is required and whether separate storage, access controls or other security measures are required.

6.11.3 BWV should not be used where alternative assessment methods would equally be effective or alternative technical options are available. For example, BWV should not be used to record a team briefing where an assessor could attend in person or the candidate openly uses a camera to record the briefing. Officers participating must understand the reason for the recording and cannot be compelled to participate in such recordings.
7. National Police Promotion Framework - Process Flowchart
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Substantive Promotion
8. Leadership learning and development and the National Police Promotion Framework

8.1 Forces should consider how best to incorporate leadership learning and development into the NPPF as a way of providing candidates with underpinning knowledge.

8.2 While it is open to forces to decide how and when they incorporate leadership programmes into the framework, candidates should start at an early stage so that work–based knowledge can be integrated with later work–based assessment when this is undertaken.

8.3 One way of doing this is through the Core Leadership Programme (CLP), which is mapped to relevant RQF learning units. Information on the CLP is accessible via the NCALT-managed learning environment. This is not a mandated requirement for promotion candidates, but is strongly recommended. Incorporating CLP or an equivalent into the National Police Promotion Framework could:

- better equip newly promoted candidates for their role by developing their leadership and management skills
- develop the careers of candidates through achieving nationally recognised qualifications

8.4 In some of the original trial forces, candidates were given acting opportunities and participation in the CLP prior to applying for a promotion place at NPPF Step Three. Candidates who progressed to Step Four found that the CLP gave them the necessary knowledge to undertake the relevant leadership and management units. Those candidates who did not gain a place at Step Four had still significantly developed their professional knowledge and abilities.
9. Guidance on extenuating circumstances and appeals procedures

9.1 Notes on reasonable adjustment, specifically around NPPF Step Two, are included in the annual Rules and Syllabus document published by the College. If reasonable adjustments are required during any part of the NPPF, candidates must inform the force (and for NPPF Step Two only, the College of Policing) in good time so that adjustments can be made at each of the four steps.

9.2 The College of Policing has an overall responsibility to manage the NPPF and does not, except as at 9.9 below, have a remit to deal with appeals at an individual level. The College will look at any appeal that infers a force has not complied with policy or quality assurance requirements. In such circumstances, the College may advise forces on policy but will not become involved in the appeals process.

9.3 Additionally, the College of Policing has no remit to review or overturn a decision of the chief officer in selecting or deselecting an officer at any of the relevant steps in NPPF. Equally it cannot comment on the quality of evidence submitted in support of an officer's application or work-based assessment modules.

9.3.1 Where a panel with similar review and appeals functions already exists, providing the decision-making process is transparent, fair and consistent, that panel could be asked to review appeals from the NPPF. There is no requirement for forces to set up an appeals process if a suitable model is already in existence.

9.4 Candidates may appeal a decision relevant to Step One, Three or in part, Step Four of the promotion process. The College of Policing has an extenuating circumstances policy for Step Two, the process for which is set out in the Rules and Syllabus document. There may also be extenuating circumstances affecting the candidate’s ability to successfully complete certain steps. Extenuating circumstances could be a serious event or series of events, such as death or serious illness of a family member, illness, accident or serious injury to the candidate. Circumstances could be work or home-related and each case should be assessed on its individual merits.

9.5 Forces must have an appeals procedure at each step of the NPPF for unsuccessful candidates who wish to appeal against the decision made against them. This is in addition to any in-force fairness at work or grievance procedure. This appeals procedure must be delivered centrally in the force and be independent of the candidate’s line management to ensure impartiality and create confidence in the process. Staff associations and diversity staff support associations should be involved in designing,
managing and implementing the appeals procedure but should not be asked to adjudicate on an appeal.

9.5.1 Each force is responsible for developing, publishing their appeals policy. The College of policing does not provide an appeal template but has produced “Guidance for development of appeals policy for use in assessment” (Appendix 1). This was designed for use with the College’s learning products, for work based assessment, assessment centers and exams. Whilst primarily created for internal College use, it can also be used by forces or other organisations who carry out assessments.

9.6 Rather than relying on an appeals process, forces should encourage candidates to highlight any extenuating circumstances as soon as practicable and preferably in advance of an assessment taking place which may then allow for matters to be rectified or an explanation given to the candidate.

9.7 Step One: Suitability
Candidates should be referred to the in-force appeals procedure if they have reason to believe that they met the relevant criteria at Step One but have not been supported in their application.

9.7.1 Candidates who have reason to believe that they met the relevant criteria at Step One, but have not been successful due to extenuating circumstances, should also be referred to the in-force appeals procedure. Fairness at work and grievance procedures may also be considered.

9.8 Step Two: Legal knowledge examination
Where candidates feel their performance at Step Two has been adversely affected by procedural flaws or extenuating circumstances, they should refer to the Rules and Syllabus document for guidance on reporting to the College of Policing Reports and Disqualification Panel. A lack of preparation and/or heavy workload before taking Step Two (legal knowledge examination) does not count as extenuating circumstances.

9.9 If a candidate is aware of extenuating circumstances prior to or on the day of the examination, they should decide whether to sign the declaration notice and attempt Step Two (legal knowledge examination) or not attend / undertake the examination.

9.10 Step Three: Assessment against rank-specific competencies and matching to vacancies.
Although an officer may lodge an in-force appeal at NPPF Step One and Four, most appeals are likely to follow the running of an in force Step Three assessment process. In publicising a forthcoming Step Three process, forces should inform candidates of the appeal process and the grounds upon which an appeal will be accepted. Such action will allow officers to understand what will or will not fall within an appeal and limit the number of unnecessary appeals.
9.11. Fairness at work/grievance procedures are separate procedures but may be submitted by an officer and investigated alongside an appeal. However, an appeal should not be delayed whilst other issues are being considered. In determining the outcome of an appeal, a force may take into consideration any information identified in another process but will do so only if they are of the view it is relevant to the criteria upon which the appeal was accepted.

9.11.1 As noted at Ch. 4.5, an officer must be within the five year validity period when they participate at NPPF Step Three. Consequently an appeal that is accepted by the force will for the purposes of calculating validity time only, commence as of the participation date. This does not impact on any other timeline for completion of the appeal process. In some cases an officer may be outside of their validity period when the results of the Step Three are published, but providing the appeal is accepted by the force, it can be supported as discussed above.

9.11.2 As previously discussed an officer has five years less 1 day from the date they passed the NPPF Legal Knowledge Exam to be selected at NPPF Step Three. The validity period is calculated on calendar days not working days. The rationale behind this requirement is that all officers have exactly the same time available to them but this period cannot be extended beyond the 5 years. However periods of time where an officer was prevented from preparing or applying for a Step Three process may be returned to the officer. Except for maternity leave and in-force appeals, only the College of Policing can authorise the return of validity time.

9.11.3 An officer who has lodged an appeal cannot (unless they withdraw that appeal) participate in a new Step Three process should the force announce one. This is because an officer cannot be in two parts of the NPPF at the same time. Therefore any period within the appeal will be managed as follows;

- If an appeal is not accepted by a force, the validity period will be deemed to have continued uninterrupted. Where relevant, the officer will retain any remaining validity period from their step two pass but no additional time will be added.

- Where an appeal is accepted by a force but not supported, the period of time between the Step Three participation date and the appeal decision date will be added to the validity period. Where relevant, the officer will retain any remaining validity period from their step two pass and the re-calculated time will follow on from the end of original validity period.
Where an appeal is accepted by a force and is supported, the officer will move to NPPF Step Three. The period of time between the participation date and the appeal decision date will be added to the original validity period and banked. Officers may use the re-calculated validity period if they are unexpectedly unable to move from Step Three to Step Four or fail to complete NPPF Step Four.

9.12 **Step Four: Temporary promotion and work-based assessment.**

Forces must apply the in-force appeals procedure at this step. Fairness at work and/or grievance procedures may also be invoked for both the assessment of units and assessment of performance. The force’s appeals procedure must adhere to the requirements of the relevant awarding body for assessing, accrediting and certifying RQF units.

Where an appeal against not giving substantive promotion is based on a performance or attendance, the force appeals process must be used. If the candidate wishes to appeal on how the RQF units were assessed, the matter may be reported to the awarding body for consideration.

9.13 In exceptional circumstances, e.g. interruption to active participation in Step Four, the following questions may be considered:

- Was the candidate aware of the likelihood of interruption to the period of temporary promotion at the time they applied for selection at Step Three?
- Has the candidate worked consistently to gather evidence for the RQF units, or has this been left to the last opportunity?
- Does any legislation cover the type of interruption to their temporary promotion, e.g. employment law or equality legislation?

9.14 **Extending the length of work-based assessment**

It is not appropriate to routinely extend a temporary promotion for a further 12 months. In some cases a shorter period (e.g. a further two months) is more appropriate. Each case needs to be considered individually.

9.15 The 12-month period will not be extended due to high volumes of work or operational commitments. Police officers deal with operational commitments as part of their daily routine and the learning units have been selected with this in mind.

9.16 The decision to extend a candidate’s period of work-based assessment beyond 12 months must be evidence-based, justifiable and documented. When considering an extension, forces should take into account any extenuating circumstances (see 9.2 and 9.4), the assessor’s judgement and the line manager’s recommendation. Forces should establish a central decision-making panel to consider such applications on their behalf.

9.17 If during Step Four it appears that a candidate may not reach the required standard, they must be informed of the reasons and an action plan put in place. Candidates and forces must take into consideration...
the time limit for completing work-based assessment, which is an absolute maximum of 24 months.

9.18 If the candidate still does not succeed in reaching the appropriate standard, they must be removed from Step Four, informed of the reasons for removal and supported by a development action plan. The candidate must wait for 12 months from the date they revert to their substantive rank before they can make a further attempt at Step Three. They must still be within the validity period at Step Two to be eligible to retake Step Three. Candidates who do not have sufficient validity period remaining must be referred to Step One.

9.19 Part-time candidates in Step Four
Part-time officers seeking promotion to the rank of sergeant or inspector must complete the required learning units. The period of time allocated to achieve the units should be offered on a pro-rata basis. For example, someone who works exactly 50% of full-time hours would have 24 months in which to complete the units.

9.19.1 However, part-time candidates may be substantively promoted once they have completed 12 calendar months of temporary promotion, providing they have demonstrated the required achieved all of the learning units and have satisfactorily evidenced performance in the rank. Any extension to the temporary promotion must also be granted on a pro-rata basis commensurate with the part-time hours worked.

9.19.2 This exemption does not apply to candidates who work full time hours but under a flexible working agreement.

9.20 Career management
NPPF Steps Three and Four are managed in-force and candidates should follow their own force’s procedures.

9.21 An officer may, if they wish, take NPPF Step Two during pregnancy, after an individual risk assessment by their own force. Forces must comply with the section of the Rules and Syllabus document dealing with pregnant officers.

9.22 Reasonable adjustment
The Equality Act 2010 allows for a reasonable adjustment to be made where disability, temporary or permanent, is present. In the majority of cases, reasonable adjustment will be sought and, where appropriate, made at NPPF Step Two (legal knowledge exam). However a reasonable adjustment can be made at any of the steps of the NPPF.

9.23 Any candidate may apply for reasonable adjustment. Forces are responsible for reviewing a request for adjustment at Step One, Three and Four in line with force policy. The College of Policing will review any application for reasonable adjustment at Step Two. The procedure for this is outlined in the NPPF Rules and Syllabus and Reasonable Adjustment Policy.
10. High Potential Development scheme and Fast Track entry scheme

10.1 Candidates on the HPDS must go through all steps of the NPPF, with the exception of Step Three. On completing Step Two, HPDS candidates deemed ready for promotion to sergeant or inspector must be prioritised where a suitable promotion vacancy arises.

10.2 An HPDS candidate can submit a Promotion Assessment Form at any stage when they feel ready, but can only proceed once they are successful at Step Two (legal knowledge examination). In effect, this process replaces Step Three for HPDS candidates. The line manager can recommend promotion based on this evidence and their own knowledge of the individual. If agreed by the chief officer or nominee in-force, the candidate can be temporarily promoted and undertake Step Four.

10.3 The Police (Promotion) Regulations 1996 allow for HPDS officers to be temporarily promoted and commence Step Four, even though a place may not be available in terms of force establishment figures. Only where there are concerns as to the ability of the HPDS candidate (which are reviewed by the Promotion Assessment Form) should an HPDS candidate be withheld from commencing Step Four.

10.4 As with non-HPDS candidates, HPDS candidates will be temporarily promoted to the aspired rank for a period of 12 months and assessed against the Qualification and Credit Framework units or NVQ units for that rank. As the HPDS process is time-limited, candidates should pass through the various stages without unnecessary delay. A candidate should not be required to retake any module where they have previously been assessed as attaining the required standard. A requirement to retake a module to provide additional evidence can only be made if there is a robust case to do so and it is in line with the awarding bodies’ regulations on evidence and achievement. Delays in accessing Step Four or any of the assessments within the work-based assessment must be avoided.

10.5 Apart from exemption from NPPF Step Three, HPDS candidates should be treated the same as other candidates – that is, with no guarantee of substantive promotion and subject to the same assessment methods. If a HPDS candidate is removed at Step Four, they must wait for 12 months from the date they revert to their substantive rank before they can make a further attempt at Step Three by resubmitting a Promotion Assessment Form, if still a part of the HPDS. If they are outside the HPDS scheme, then the candidate can apply for selection at Step Three alongside non-HPDS candidates, again only after 12 months from the date they revert to their substantive rank. They must be supported with a development plan during this period.
11. Constable to Inspector Fast Track process

The constable to inspector Fast Track promotion process will replace HPDS, although there will be some overlap when both processes will be run within forces. There are two routes into the programme:

- external graduates, members of the special constabulary and members of police staff who are subsequently attested as constables
- existing constables

11.1 Fast Track programme members must go through all steps of the NPPF, with the exception of Step Three.

11.2 Fast Track officers do not have to complete Step Three of the NPPF and are prioritised at Step Four over and above other officers, even if there are limited places. This means that although Fast Track officers are required to meet the same standards, they can progress more quickly than normal promotion opportunities may allow, while demonstrating the appropriate level of competence.

11.3 Furthermore, the promotion of a Fast Track officer is, by regulation, not subject to or affected by vacancies. Therefore, subject to being appropriately qualified as set out above, officers will be promoted to temporary sergeant at the start of year two, substantive sergeant at the start of year three and then, upon successfully completing the programme, temporary inspector. Apart from exceptional personal circumstances, there is no provision to delay these promotion timescales. Should an officer fail to gain promotion, this will trigger their removal from the programme, from which point they will revert to a standard track career path.

**Step One**
Candidates accepted onto the Fast Track process and who are supported by their force will be considered as meeting the Step One eligibility criteria. They may apply during their 12-month probationary period. Candidates **must** register with the College of Policing to be able to undertake Step Two.

**Step Two**
Candidates, even though they hold the rank of constable, will be entered into the sergeant to inspector NPPF Step Two legal knowledge examination. Officers attaining 65% or above in the examination will move to NPPF Step Four.

Candidates achieving 55% or higher but less than 65% will be allowed the opportunity to remain on the Fast Track programme as temporary sergeants, subject to the approval of their chief officer lead. Such officers
will be provided an opportunity to retake the inspector’s Step Two exam the following year. Failure to achieve 65% at the second attempt will result in removal from the programme and reverting to a standard track career path.

**Step Three**
The local selection process does not apply to Fast Track officers. A Fast Track officer will submit a Promotion Assessment Report (PAR) during the final year of the Fast Track programme. The PAR process replaces Step Three and progress is dependent on line manager recommendation and agreement by senior management within the home force.

**Step Four**
Officers who attain 55% or higher at NPPF Step Two will be temporarily promoted to the rank of sergeant and commence the 12-month work-based assessment for that rank. Following substantive promotion to the rank of sergeant, Fast Track candidates are eligible to apply for temporary promotion to the rank of inspector. While they may apply at this stage, candidates must have 12 months’ substantive service in the rank of sergeant and have successfully graduated from the Fast Track programme before being temporarily promoted to the rank of inspector. On being temporarily promoted to the rank of inspector, candidates will be eligible to commence the 12-month work-based assessment for that rank.

**12. Direct Entry Inspector**

The Direct Entry Inspector programme sits outside of the NPPF but candidates within that programme are required to comply, in part, with the NPPF.

Unlike all other candidates, Direct Entry inspectors commence their service at the rank of Inspector. Although participation within the NPPF provides candidates with the knowledge, skills and behaviours, promotion or successful completion of a probationary period is not dependant on the NPPF. However, failure to attain the required standard may be grounds to remove a candidate from the Direct Entry programme.

**Step One**
Candidates accepted onto the Direct Entry Inspector programme and who are supported by their force will be considered as meeting the Step One eligibility criteria. Candidates must register with the College of Policing to be able to undertake Step Two.

**Step Two**
Candidates will hold the rank of Inspector and will be entered into the sergeant to inspector NPPF Step Two legal knowledge examination approximately 12 months into their rotation. Officers attaining 65% or above in the examination will move to NPPF Step Four.
Step Three

The local selection process does not apply to Direct Entry Inspectors.

Step Four

Officers who attain 65% or higher at NPPF Step Two for Inspector will commence the 12-month work-based assessment for that rank.

13. OSPRE I and II qualified candidates

13.1 Most forces will have a number of officers who have previously completed the OSPRE promotion process and as such are qualified for promotion. Subject to meeting any local selection criteria, these officers are qualified to apply at Step Three. If selected they should be substantively promoted as discussed at ch.6.10 above.

13.1 The primary function of the Step Three process is to select in open competition the very best candidates who show potential for operating at the next rank. As such, both the NPPF Step Two-qualified candidates and the OSPRE I and II qualified candidates should be assessed in a single Step Three process.

13.2 Chief officers may hold separate selection events if they wish, but the process and questions in each event must be identical and not provide an advantage to one group over the other. Equally, candidates cannot be prioritised over the other because they have participated in a particular promotion route. Adopting such practice is not only ethically unsound but may lead to legal challenge.

14. Equality impact assessment – information to forces

The NPPF was subject to a wide-ranging equality impact assessment where a number of issues were identified. While these were not discriminatory, they have the potential to place some officers at a disadvantage.

The full College-based equality impact assessment is published on the College website.
14. Contact

The website address for the National Police Promotion Framework is:

http://www.college.police.ukPromotion/Pages/nppf

Policy contact: George Couch
College of Policing
1-7 Old Queen Street
Westminster
London
SW1H 9HP

NPPF.Enquiries@college.pnn.police.uk
Appendix 1

Guidance for development of appeals policy for use in assessment

Policy Guidance – Appeals and Assessment Version 1.1

Scope

This guidance document provides information and guidance surrounding the creation of an appeals policy for use in the College of Policing (the College) assessment processes. For example work based assessment, assessment centres and exams. Whilst this has been created for internal college products, it can also be used by other organisations delivering assessments. It is important to note that if an organisation or business unit is working with an awarding organisation, for example when conducting work based assessment, they should verify that their internal procedures align with those specified by the awarding organisation.

Design

The recommended steps involved in designing an appeals policy are as follows:

- Consult with relevant individuals, e.g. those who will be managing an appeals process, representative information, and potential candidates, to determine key inclusion material pertinent to that process, such as timescales, required format of appeal. This will ensure any information is accurate and any actions required by the College are achievable.

- Complete an Equality Impact Assessment to ensure the policy is fair and objective. A thorough legal review should also be undertaken to confirm that the policy is legally compliant.

- Policies should be reviewed on a yearly basis or when the products that it refers to are amended, whichever is sooner.

When a candidate submits an appeal, or is considering submitting one, it is possible that they will be in a vulnerable state, for example angry or upset. It is therefore important to ensure that all documentation is clear, simple to read and unambiguous. Candidates should be able to easily confirm the following;

- If what they are concerned with constitutes an appeal
- If so, what steps they need to take
- Timescales they have in which to submit an appeal
- Expected communication and timescales of communication from the College e.g. acknowledgment of appeal.
The College’s Ethics, Integrity and Public Interest team have created a guidance document and a
template to facilitate the creation of a policy and also can provide guidance on specific policies.
In addition to this, it is emphasised that an appeals policy should be transparent and clear and
additionally should highlight the independence of those dealing with appeals. By having a clear,
transparent approach, this demonstrates an open, fair approach, which is in line with the
College’s Code of Ethics.

Policy content
Within an appeal policy, information surrounding the following areas should be included. Prior to
being assessed, candidates should also be informed of this information in order to provide a
transparent, fair process. This could be presented to candidates in the form of information packs,
which also details full information about their impending assessment. This information could also
be provided online. Policy Guidance – Appeals and Assessment.

Behaviour and Manner
It is recommended that any policy outlines behaviours expected from individuals dealing with the
appeals processes. An example of which is below;

- is prompt, simple, accessible and treats you with respect and sensitivity
- has regard to individual needs and requirements
- provides you with clear and complete information on the appeals process, how to escalate your complaint, and keeps you informed at every stage
- uses everyday language and gives honest explanations, reasons for decisions and offers apologies when necessary
- uses appeals to understand what we can do better and as a means to improve our work
- treats all our customers fairly and without discrimination

(Adapted from http://www.college.police.uk/Contact/Pages/Feedback-and-complaints.aspx )

Individual Business Units may want to include other behaviours relevant to them to complement
these.

Enquiries
Enquiries are typically when a candidate is asking a question surrounding a part of their
assessment process. For example, questioning why a certain process was used, or why they scored what they did. They are not asking for any specific action, for example a re-mark or review of their work.

Enquiries are NOT the same as an appeal and business units should have their own guidance as to best respond to such enquiries.
Reasonable Adjustments

Business units should have their own policy regarding reasonable adjustments and assessment. This should sit separate to the appeals policy however it is still pertinent to make reference to reasonable adjustments within an appeals policy to ensure fairness and transparency. Under the Equality Act 2010 there is a duty to make adjustments where a person with a disability would be at a substantial disadvantage in relation to a relevant matter [e.g. exam, assessment] in comparison with persons who are not considered to have such a disability. According to the Act, “a person (P) has a disability if - (a) P has a physical or mental impairment, and (b) the impairment has a substantial and long-term adverse effect on P’s ability to carry out normal day-to-day activities.” As well as being a legal requirement, such elements of fairness and respect are key parts of College’s Code of Ethics.

Therefore, the candidate needs to be presented with a clear opportunity to submit a request for a reasonable adjustment. Candidates should be told how to apply, and what needs to be included in a request for adjustment. Clear timescales for submissions should be stated to candidates. It should be made clear that late submission may mean that an adjustment cannot be arranged in time, in such cases the individual will have to defer their assessment, or be assessed without an adjustment, in cases of the latter, the individual will have to sign a ‘fit to sit’ declaration (see below), meaning that they are not able to appeal on the basis of not receiving an adjustment.

Policy Guidance – Appeals and Assessment

Sufficient time should be allowed to enable a candidate to collect the required evidence. Deadlines should also allow the College sufficient time to review and respond to any requests. When awarding any adjustments, it should be made clear to candidates that by accepting such adjustments, they are not able to appeal on the basis of not receiving sufficient adjustment for their requirements. If candidates feel they need a different adjustment they will need to contact the College in sufficient time before their assessment.

Sometimes, there are instances where a candidate requires an accommodation. This is likely to be in cases where they have a condition which does not meet the definition of a disability. Whilst adjustments result in a physical change to the assessment in some way (e.g. extra time, being allowed use of a spell-checker) in order to not disadvantage a candidate, an accommodation does not change the nature of the assessment. For example an accommodation could be allowing a candidate to sit nearer a door, or using a coloured overlay. It is necessary to consider the cost and logistical impact of supporting any accommodations. It is also necessary to ensure that candidates given accommodations are not given an unfair advantage. For these reasons all requests should be considered on an individual basis.

Acceptable Appeals

A clear explanation of an acceptable appeal will provide clarification of what an appeal actually is to candidates. Whilst there is no common definition of an appeal, it is generally considered to be when somebody is taking action against an incident relating to assessment. It differs from an enquiry as when somebody appeals they are often seeking a change or specific action to be taken.

This explanation should allow them to clearly distinguish the difference between an appeal and an enquiry, and therefore the relevance of the policy to them.
It may reduce the number of candidates appealing as what will and what will not be accepted as grounds for appeal is clearly articulated, therefore candidates may be less likely to appeal for the wrong reasons, as the grounds are already clearly set out. If a candidate does still appeal on grounds which are not acceptable, they can be referred back to the policy which should clearly explain why their appeal is not suitable and therefore will not give them any leeway to further discuss unsuitable appeals. In addition, fewer appeals or candidate queries regarding appeals, will likely reduce staff time needed to respond.

Information surrounding appeals should be communicated to individuals at the same time as giving them other information about their assessment etc. This is commonly given in the form of a candidate handbook. Individuals should also be given full information surrounding reasonable adjustments and extenuating circumstances. By providing clear information to the candidates up front, a lot of candidate queries can be avoided, as individuals should have all the information they need, in a clear, concise format.

Extenuating circumstances

It is accepted that events may happen which could potentially impact a candidate’s performance. Extenuating circumstances are those which are; unpredictable, are outside the individual’s control, happen during or shortly before an assessment and are expected to have a significant impact on the individual.

Examples may include; the death of a close relative, serious personal illness.

Examples of what is not considered an extenuating circumstance include; minor illness, transport problems, computer failure, and having a heavy workload.

Where an individual has an extenuating circumstance, they are invited to submit an extenuating circumstances form. This will include evidence of the circumstance. Business Units should have their own procedures surrounding extenuating circumstances and develop Policy Guidance – Appeals and Assessment

a policy decision for the respective business unit whether or not to accept extenuating circumstances.

‘Fit to Sit’

All candidates should sign a ‘Fit to Sit’ declaration. By signing this, this confirms they are fit to be assessed, i.e. there is no known extenuating circumstances or need for a reasonable adjustment, or if there are, they are still happy to be assessed, and therefore waive their right to appeal based on the circumstance.

Procedural errors

Whilst rare, occasionally unscheduled events can happen which can affect the assessment procedure, and in turn, performance. For example, a fire alarm going off in the middle of the assessment or incorrect examination timing. There should be procedures in place for assessment staff to record such information. Such information should be reviewed to determine whether assessment results would have been different, should the event not have happened. This should automatically happen, therefore appeals related to such events should not be accepted. It is at
the discretion of the business unit to accept unscheduled incidents. In order to maintain a fair, objective process, this should be clearly communicated to candidates before their assessment.

Possible Outcomes

Detailed in this section should be examples, and justification of, possible outcomes from an appeal. If the business unit has any specific actions it will not sanction, e.g. a remark, this should be clearly outline and justified, to allow for an objective, open approach.

The following are possible outcomes which may be contained within an appeals policy;

- No action taken
- Additional marks rewarded
- Allow an opportunity to re-sit
- Wipe assessment attempt from the record
- Provide feedback to individuals related to the appeal (e.g. invigilators, site coordinator, assessors)

It is highlighted that not all the above outcomes will be appropriate for every process and consideration should be made as to the most relevant and appropriate outcome for each process. Options should be considered with regards to suitability for the process, in order to maintain the integrity of the assessment, ensuring a fair process to all candidates.

Stages

In order for the appeals process to be objective and fair, clear timescales should be detailed. This should be accompanied with clear steps an individual must take at each stage. Consideration should be given to allow candidates to raise issues informally prior to invoking the formal appeals process, with the aim of resolving them. There are two stages of the appeals process. If and when, stage 2 has been exhausted this is the end of the appeals process. This should be made clear to all candidates.

It should be made clear that appeals submitted outside the timescales will not be considered. This is to allow an objective approach.

*Stage 1*

This stage is when a candidate first wishes to submit an appeal. It should be made clear that a submission will be considered void if it is clear that the circumstances claimed are not considered to constitute sufficient grounds for an appeal. *Policy Guidance – Appeals and Assessment*. In this section it should be outlined;

- The steps a candidate should take in the first instance – for example contact staff present as the assessment delivery or their work based assessment lead.
• The form this contact should take – verbal, written etc.

• Whether or not an individual should expect an acknowledgement of submitting an appeal

• Who will be involved at this stage in determining whether or not to accept the appeal

• Any appeal submission deadlines (e.g. before results released)

• Any timescales – i.e. when the candidate should expect a response and the format of such a response

The first stage is the candidate’s first opportunity to raise an appeal. Candidates often have a limited window to make an appeal and this is usually very soon after the event. By providing clear documentation and guidance, this can help to increase candidate experience of the process, and potentially in turn, of the police service and College.

A review of an appeal should be undertaken by an individual independent of the assessment process, in order to demonstrate clear objectivity and fairness. All candidates should be informed that any appeals will be reviewed by an individual independent of the assessment process, demonstrating a fair, open approach.

Where a reasonable adjustment has been granted, the format of submitting an appeal may vary at the discretion of the project lead, however the rest of the factors (timescales etc.) still stand.

The appeals process will be deemed complete if;

• Review of the evidence results in an appeal being upheld (and the candidate is satisfied with outcome)

• An appeal will be considered void if it meets any of the below criteria, as such, the process will be deemed complete.

  • It is submitted outside the given timescales

  • It is not considered sufficient grounds for appeal (e.g. appeal submitted as individual had a lot of work on at the time of the assessment)

  • It does not meet the criteria of an appeal (e.g. is an enquiry)

The appeals process is not complete if any of the following conditions are met. In such cases, stage 2 should be implemented;

• If an appeal is upheld and the individual is not satisfied with the outcome

• Evidence not available when submitting initial appeal is now available (e.g. medical evidence supporting an individual’s submission)

Stage 2
This stage should only be utilised when stage 1 has been completed and an individual is not satisfied with the outcome of a successful appeal or if there is evidence (e.g. medical) to support an appeal which was not available in the required submission timescales.

As with stage 1, individuals should be made aware of the steps they need to take to submit an appeal, this includes;

- Who they need to contact
- The method in which to contact the above
- What they need to include in the stage 2 appeal
- Policy Guidance – Appeals and Assessment
- The time in which they have to submit a stage 2 appeal
- If they will receive an acknowledgment of submitting an appeal
- Who will be involved
- When and how they will be notified of the appeal outcomes
- Potential outcomes

The business unit’s processes with regard to dealing with an appeal at this stage should be made clear. For example many exam bodies have an independent panel review at this stage. It is important that an appeals policy should clearly show what the ultimate stage is and highlight that decisions at this stage are final. It should be made clear that the appeal will be reviewed by a different person(s) from stage 1, in order to ensure a fair, objective approach.

Summary

Whilst policies should be created in line with the College’s official template, this document has provided guidance as to the content of a policy surrounding appeals within an assessment context. Table 1 provides a summary checklist of items

External Policies reviewed

In creating this documentation, a number of appeals policies and guidance were reviewed in order to benchmark against. External policies associated with City and Guilds, The University of Nottingham, University of St. Andrews, Army Reserves, Chartered Institute of Purchasing & Supply and Goldsmiths, University of London were reviewed.
Example Checklist of what should be included in an appeals policy Included/Complete?

Explanation of

- What process(es) the policy is for
- What constitutes an appeal
- What steps an individual needs to take
- Timescales in which an appeal has to be submitted
- Required format of an appeal
- Expected communication and timescales of communication from College
- Behaviour expected from individuals dealing with the appeals policies
- Enquiries
- Reasonable Adjustments
- Acceptable Appeals
- Extenuating circumstances
- Procedural errors
- Possible outcomes
- Appeals Stages
- Final stage/when decision will be final
- Conduct
- Legal Review
- Equality Impact Assessment
- Yearly review of policy or review whenever assessment has changed (whichever is sooner)